

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/855,839	09/855,839 05/16/2001		Michael J. Brunelle	782.1104	9188	
21171	7590	05/16/2006		EXAMINER		
STAAS & I	HALSEY LI	Ĺ P	GAUTHIER, GERALD			
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ON, DC 20	•	2614			
				DATE MAILED: 05/16/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/855,839	BRUNELLE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Gerald Gauthier	2614		

	Gerald Gauthier	2614	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 03 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	``	36(a) and the appropria	te extension fee
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of the appeal. Since
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	•		
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, in the proposed amendment (a) They raise new issues that would require further continuous. They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO	, will <u>not</u> be entered b TE below);	ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		teenbeen American	(DTOL 224)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		impliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,		_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 2-4,6,8-18,21 and 23-26. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wi vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 		•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments of the independent claims raise new issues and require further consideration and search.

GERALD GAUTHIER
PATENT EXAMINER